

A review of the assessment of the effects of protection in MPAs: current knowledge and gaps

Celia Ojeda-Martínez¹, Just Bayle-Sempere¹, Pablo Sánchez-Jerez¹, Fuensanta Salas², Romain Crec'hriou³, Jesús M. Falcón⁴, Raquel Goñi⁵, Mariagrazia Graziano⁶, Ivan Guala⁷, Ruth Higgins⁸, Laurence Le Diréach⁹, Pablo Martín-Sosa¹⁰, Elisabeth Rochel³, Ben Stobart⁵, Frédéric Vandeperre⁸ & Stefano Vaselli¹¹

1 - Department of Marine Sciences and Applied Biology, University of Alicante, P.O. Box 99; E-03080. Alicante, Spain

2 - Department of Ecology and Hydrology, Faculty of Biology, University of Murcia, 30100 – Murcia, Spain

3 - UMR 5244 EPHE-CNRS-UPVD Université de Perpignan, 66860 Perpignan Cedex

4 - Grupo de Investigación BIOECOMAC, Departamento de Biología Animal (Ciencias Marinas), Universidad de La Laguna, c/Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez s/n, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands

5 - Centro Oceanográfico de Baleares, Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Muelle Poniente s/n, Palma de Mallorca, Spain

6 - Dipartimento di Biologia Animale. Via archirafi 18 – I - 90123. Università di Palermo. Palermo

7 - Fondazione IMC – International Marine Centre – Onlus, Loc. Sa Mardini 09072 Torregrande (Oristano, Italy)

8 - Department of Oceanography and Fisheries, University of the Azores, PT-9901-862 Horta, Portugal

9 - GIS Posidonie, Centre d'Océanologie de Marseille, Parc Scientifique et Technologique de Luminy, Case 901, 13288 Marseille Cedex 09 (France)

10 - Centro Oceanográfico de Canarias, Instituto Español de Oceanografía. Ctra. San Andrés, 45. S/C Tenerife (Spain)

11 - Department of Biology, University of Pisa, Italy

Corresponding author: celia.ojeda@ua.es

Keywords: Review, Marine Protected Areas, management, parameters used, gaps

Assessing the effects of marine protected areas (MPAs) in relation to conserving coastal marine environments requires appropriate parameters to be estimated analysed and interpreted within a logical and statistically valid framework. We report a quantitative analyse of the literature concerning studies that evaluate the MPAs effectiveness. Our aim was to quantify the frequency of most common methodological approaches and statistical analysis, most used response variables, to consider the types of information generated and to detect areas in which further research is required. This paper synthesises the most common study subjects, identifies the methodologies and the parameters that have been used to evaluate the effectiveness of MPAs. We reviewed 220 references of studies carried out in different MPAs from the Mediterranean Sea, the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian oceans, since 1983 to 2006. Publications before 1996 were predominantly peer-reviewed, although non-peer reviewed literature appears since 1989, mostly as technical reports. Most studies focused on biological parameters such as effects on populations, effects on assemblages, effects on the habitats and effects on the fishing yield; by comparison, there were few socioeconomic studies. Other results show that most of the studies developed a C vs I sampling design. Peer-reviewed showed more complex sampling designs than non-peer studies. The results suggest that standardized methods of study, to be applied by both researchers and administrators, enabling comparable results to be obtained, are required for a reasoned management of MPAs.